

A GREAT MESALLIANCE

Prince of the Greeks to Become Through Marriage
a Part Owner of Monte Carlo.

By EX-ATTACHE.

Last year Henry Labouchere, in discussing in the pages of his London weekly, Truth, the Marquis of Townshend cause celebre, revealed the fact that certain of the well-born attaches of the House of Commons were connected with a matrimonial agency, and at the same time brought to light the fact that the principal bargain which the concern in question had on its books was a princess of the house of Bonaparte, with a fortune of \$15,000,000. A titled husband was sought by the matrimonial agency for this princess, the terms being that the commission of \$20,000 payable to them on the day of the solemnization of the marriage. The princess in question was Marie, daughter of Roland Bonaparte, by his marriage with Marie Blanc, one of the daughters and heiresses of that old Francis Blanc who founded the great gambling establishment of Monte Carlo. The announcement now officially made from Copenhagen of the engagement of this princess to Prince George of Greece, under the circumstances will naturally give rise to the query as to whether this mesalliance has been negotiated through the agency in question, and whether or not Prince George and his bride will be called upon to pay the commission of \$20,000 above mentioned.

It is impossible to describe the match as anything else than a mesalliance, and one, too, of the most discreditable character, and there is but little ground for surprise that the union should have met with so much opposition on the part of the relatives of Prince George in Denmark, in Russia, in England, and in Germany, and that his father, King George, should have hesitated so long before giving his consent to the marriage. Some years ago, when the girl's father, Roland Bonaparte, endeavored to obtain the hand of Princess Letitia Bonaparte, widow of the Duke of Aosta, whose income is in no sense of the word commensurate with her extravagant tastes, her brother-in-law, King Humbert, and at a later period her nephew, the present ruler of Italy, indignantly declined to consider his matrimonial aspirations, on the ground that the unsavory source of his wealth and the questionable character of his birth and parentage rendered any marriage with a princess of the reigning house of Savoy impossible. The fortune of Marie Bonaparte is like that of her father, derived from the greatest public gambling establishment in the world; namely, that of Monte Carlo, which has been rightly described as the plague spot of Europe, and where the number of suicides who have taken their lives after being ruined at the tables, and lie buried in the principality, exceeds the number of its living population. Nor can Marie Bonaparte's lineage be said to be any place superior to that of her father. If anything, it is worse; for if his mother was the daughter of a journeyman plumber, and his maternal grandfather a confectioner de la Cour, his mother was a daughter of old Francis Blanc, who has risen from croupier at the public gambling tables at Wiesbaden to be the creator and principal owner of the great public gambling hell on the island of Monaco.

Roland's father, Prince Peter Bonaparte, was one of the younger sons of the great Emperor's youngest brother, Lucien, the only brother who, owing to his refusal to submit to the will of Napoleon in matters domestic and political, was compelled to forego any share in the throne which the mighty Corsican desired so lavishly among the other members of his family. Peter not only lived, but also fought in America; he spent a considerable time in Bordentown, N. J., with his father's brother, ex-King Joseph Bonaparte, of Spain, and where he was in frequent trouble with the local authorities by reason of the unruly violence of his character. He then took part in the wars in Central America, seeing a good deal of service under the Colombian general Santander. Returning to Italy, he, while engaged in poaching, had the misfortune to kill a gamekeeper, and, thrown into prison and charged with murder, he managed to effect his escape and came again to the United States, this time as a fugitive from justice, and led a precarious existence here until the overthrow of Louis Philippe, and the election of his first cousin, Napoleon III, to the Presidency of the republic. Napoleon III by no means relished the relationship of this particularly black sheep of his family, and was greatly displeased at his coming to Paris.

But he eventually agreed to give him an allowance of some \$20,000 a year, on the condition that he would remain altogether in the background and make no attempt to figure in any court ceremonies or in any official functions. Peter complied with these conditions, and little was heard of him until, in January, 1870, he shot down in the drawing-room of his house in the Rue d'Auteuil, in the suburbs of Paris, the unarmed and defenseless journalist, Victor Noir, who had called upon him with Uric de Fontvielle at his invitation, in order to arrange for a duel between him and his principal, Paschal Grousset.

The murder was of such a particularly brutal and cowardly nature that it excited a storm of indignation throughout France, and, of course, entailed the publication far and wide of all the unsavory incidents of the prince's career in Italy, in America, and in France. Tried for his life at Tours, he was acquitted of the charge of murder, but sentenced to pay heavy damages to the family of his victim, which they refused to receive. This outcome of the trial gave rise to great popular dissatisfaction. Indeed, the entire affair created such an outbreak of animosity and of denunciation, not only of Peter himself, but of the entire Bonaparte family, that it has generally been regarded as having been one of the chief contributory causes of the downfall of the empire in September of the same year.

Immediately after the trial, Prince Peter was ordered by his cousin, Napoleon III, to leave the country, and withdrew to Belgium, where the outbreak of the war found him. After Sedan, his allowance stopped, and, finding his appeals to Napoleon III ignored, he proceeded to England. On one memorable occasion he made an attempt to secure an interview with the ex-Emperor at Chislehurst, but was met in the grounds by Empress Eugenie, who not only ordered him off the place, but threatened to invoke the aid of the police unless he quitted England. He then fled to Belgium, leaving in England Justine Ruffin and the two children whom she had borne him, namely,

Roland and Jeanne, now married to the Marquis de Villeneuve. There has always been a certain amount of doubt and mystery as to the nature of the union of Prince Peter with Justine Ruffin. According to the laws of France which were in force throughout the reign of Napoleon III, no member of his family could contract a valid marriage without his sanction. Peter made no attempt to obtain any authorization to marry Justine Ruffin, known as the heiress of the Emperor. But he is said to have married her after the downfall of the empire. A variety of dates have been given to this alleged marriage, even in the various issues of the Almanach de Gotha.

But the fact remains that as long as Prince Peter was alive and had any say in the matter, he figured in the pages of the Almanach de Gotha as a bachelor, and passed off as such. Any reference to the Almanach de Gotha prior to 1880 will show this. It was only several years after his death, not indeed until Roland had secured through the intervention of the American-born Countess de Trobriand the hand and fortune of Marie Blanc, that the Almanach de Gotha descended to take any notice of his existence, or to intimate that he was the son of Prince Peter, or that the latter had ever been married. That Prince Peter would not have tolerated any such assumption on the part of Roland, if he had been further associated with him, was his attitude toward Justine Ruffin, after he had abandoned her and her two children in London, declining to have anything more to do with her, or to contribute to her support. Thrown on her own resources, she started a millinery shop at 91 Bond Street, in London, with the imperial arms of France, and the name "Princess Pierre Bonaparte" figuring conspicuously over the door and on the shop sign. She further associated herself, of course, to induce either the ex-Emperor or Empress Eugenie to make some allowance, or to buy her out at a large sum. No attention was paid to the matter by the imperial exiles at Chislehurst, and she was free to do as she pleased in the enterprise. She attempted to raise money from the unfortunate ex-monarch rather than a bona-fide commercial venture. It was not long before Justine Ruffin became involved in bankruptcy, and when she further associated herself with some dishonest promoters of a London concern, entitled "The Comptoir d'Escompte de France," of which she accepted the presidency, and which necessitated the intervention of the police, she was compelled to make haste and depart, and seek refuge in France. Prince Peter, on that occasion, wrote very uncompromising letters concerning her to the English newspapers, not merely to denounce all financial responsibility for the liabilities which she had incurred, but even denying her right to use his name, and the title of Princess Pierre Bonaparte. When he died, in 1881, it was found that he had left all his belongings to the Comptoir d'Escompte de France, and to his son, who was his daughter, and to his son, who was his daughter.

Justine, after returning with her children to France, lived to great extent on charity, and in 1874 was reduced to such a state of destitution that she dispatched her son, Roland, to Marshal MacMahon, at that time President of the republic, with a begging letter, and begged him to send her two children and herself to his home to help her. MacMahon, who was generous to a fault, not only redeemed all the pawn tickets, but likewise gave young Roland a couple of hundred francs, and managed to bring the matter to the knowledge of Princess Mathilde Bonaparte, first cousin of Prince Peter. Princess Mathilde, while declining to do anything for Justine, or to hold any communication with her, did, however, contribute two or three thousand francs a year to the schooling and maintenance of the two children; and Roland, who was a good-looking lad, after graduating in due course from school, and winning the position of sublieutenant in the Thirtieth Regiment of Infantry, managed to secure, through old Mme. de Trobriand, the hand of Marie Blanc, one of the two daughters and heiresses of the founder of the great gambling establishment at Monte Carlo. The countess knew Marie Blanc, and liked the girl, and her sympathies had been, since several years, actively interested in behalf of Roland Bonaparte and his sister, to whose maintenance, indeed, Roland contributed.

Roland Bonaparte left the army on his marriage, which transformed him from a penniless man into a multi-millionaire, and into one of the principal owners of the gambling establishment of Monte Carlo. His wife died a few days after giving birth to his daughter, who is now about to wed Prince George of Greece. It cannot be said that Justine showed an overwhelming sorrow at the death of her daughter-in-law, who had placed her son and herself in a position of poverty. For it seemed at the time to pave the way to the realization of her ambitions, which were that Roland should marry Princess Letitia Bonaparte, widow of the Duke of Aosta, and then, by buying off the Princess's brother, the Countess de Trobriand, place himself forward as a pretender to the imperial throne of France.

This little project was, of course, frustrated by the refusal of King Humbert, and of King Victor Emmanuel to permit the marriage of Princess Letitia to Roland. The latter has endeavored, like his business associate and part owner of the gambling tables, namely, the Prince of Monaco, to obtain indulgence for the tainted source of his wealth by devoting a portion thereof, as well as much of his time and energy, to the cause of science, and while the ruler of the rouge-et-noir contrived much toward the advancement of geography, Roland Bonaparte has been active in behalf of geography, and has furnished the initiative and the means for many interesting explorations. In fact, he has, in some respects, been a useful member of the commonwealth, a useful object to him being the social and shameful origin of his riches, the questionable character of his birth, and the assumption of a princely title, to which he cannot possibly show any right, since he was admittedly born out of legal wedlock, even if any marriage ever existed between his parents.

With regard to Prince George of Greece, it is difficult to refrain from the impression that he has been prompted by considerations of money rather than of affection in his suit for the hand of Marie Bonaparte. He is in many respects a disappointed man, who is conscious that in spite of the magnificent opportunities placed within his reach, he has proved himself in the eyes of the world a failure in everything that he has undertaken. His gigantic stature—he is the Anak of

Old World royalty—and his feat while in Japan of felling the would-be assassin of the present-Czar, and of thus saving the latter's life, caused him to be at one time an object of popular favor and good will, not only throughout Europe, but also in America, which he visited some fifteen years ago. But the lamentable showing which he made as commander of the torpedo boat division, and as one of the ranking officers of the navy during the war with Turkey, when so much was expected from the costly Greek fleet, was followed by a still greater amount of bungling on his part as governor general of Crete, an office to which he was appointed with a large salary and allowances, and where he showed himself not a liberal-minded ruler, but a petty despot. Indeed, his behavior while in Crete, his neglect to fulfill any of the expectations which had been formed as to the success of his viceroyalty, and the trouble which he gave to the people by his absurd pretensions, his extravagant demands, his constant complaints, and by his indifference to the duties and obligations of his office, were such as to excite all will against him in every capital of Europe.

With no prospect of succeeding to the crown of Greece or of Denmark, and realizing that after the experiment in Crete there can never be any question of his being chosen, like his cousin, Charles of Denmark, to occupy any vacant throne, he has apparently come to the conclusion that the only thing left for him is to devote himself to a life of idleness and pleasure, with every luxury placed within his reach by means of a fortune that renders him independent of the authority of the Crown of Greece or of any of the other royal houses of Europe. For scions of royalty are subjected to a good deal of tyranny on the part of the chiefs of their dynasties, who take advantage of their position, not only as heads of the family, but also as sovereigns, to suspend the payment of the allowances of any of the princes or princesses of their house who decline to conform to their views. As one of the part proprietors of the Monte Carlo establishment, and deriving a large annual income from its tables, and from the ruin of the foolish people who play there, and as being in addition the controller of his father's fortune of \$15,000,000, George will, henceforth, be able to snap his fingers at his royal and imperial relatives, and in particular at his father, King George of Greece, who, going to Athens in 1883 as a penniless prince of Denmark, has managed so well for himself that he has accumulated a very big fortune, the means of which were laid by means of speculation in American grain, under the name of the late Gen. Meredith Knott, United States envoy at Athens during the Russian war with Turkey, when all the Black Sea grain ports were closed to maritime trade.

KING EDWARD AS AN ARTIST

Other European Royalties with Talent for Painting.

Many of Queen Victoria's Descendants Have Marked Artistic Ability, and So Has German Emperor.

King Edward cannot boast that he has a picture exhibited at Burlington House at an earlier age than the most precocious of our present Royal Academicians, says London Tit-Bits, but that his picture was purchased for a substantial sum before the public even set eyes on it.

This remarkable and little known episode in his majesty's life occurred more than half a century ago, when Queen Victoria's children contributed sketches to an exhibition of art held at Burlington House in aid of a fund for the soldiers wounded in the Crimean war. The record price in the exhibition was realized by a sketch entitled "The Battle-field," the work of the Princess Royal, which found a purchaser for the sum of £20 guineas. The Prince of Wales' sketch (he was only thirteen at the time) was bought for £5 guineas; and drawings by the still younger princes and princesses fetched 30 guineas each.

There is, as a matter of fact, scarcely one of Queen Victoria's descendants who has not inherited in some degree her love of art. The late Empress Frederick was throughout her life passionately fond of painting and exhibited much skill that the Berlin Academy of Arts called her among its members in recognition of her "talent as a composer and a draftsman."

Princess Henry of Battenberg is little less skillful with the brush, as is proved by the three beautiful landscapes which for so many years hung in Queen Victoria's sitting room at Osborne, and by many other pictures which have honored places in almost every royal palace in Great Britain. Princess Christian's talent takes the form of exquisite designs for the tapestry produced under her direction at the Royal School of Art Needlework, and Princess Victoria, her daughter, is one of the most skillful amateur painters of flower and fruit. But undoubtedly the best artist in our royal family is Princess Louise, Duchess of Argyll, who can produce with equal skill a beautiful picture or a life size statue which will compare not unfavorably with most professional work. Nor is proficiency in art by any means confined to English royalties. It is not long since a sumptuous volume was published for a charitable cause, the illustrations of which were largely supplied by royal artists. The German Emperor contributed a striking picture of a large ship riding at anchor on a placid sea, surrounded by smaller ships and a fleet of background of distant snow-capped mountains. Queen Amelie of Portugal was represented by a study of a donkey's head, ornamented with gayly colored trappings; the Queen of Spain by an exquisite study of flowers; the Empress Frederick by a powerful sketch of a boy's head, and Princess Clara of Bavaria by an ambitious and successful etching.

The German Emperor, as is well known, is an artist of much more than average skill. One of his pictures, "Flight Between Battle Ships," is a remarkably powerful piece of work, exhibiting rare skill and technical knowledge, while his design for the tower of the Church of Our Saviour at Jerusalem proves that he is no mean architect and draftsman.

CARD SHARPS AT SEA

How Passengers on Board Steamships Are Warned and the Gamblers Are Watched.

PASSENGERS on this steamship were respectfully warned against engaging in GAMES OF CHANCE OR CARDS with STRANGERS.

Any one going to Europe on the big liners may see this warning, or one in the same general terms, hung conspicuously in the smoking room of his steamship, says the New York Times. It means that there are professional gamblers aboard ready to fleece him out of his ready cash at poker, dice, or a confidence game.

Who are the social hyenas? The passengers, more or less confident of their own respectability, look on the strangers around them with suspicion. All the men are well dressed. Many are habitués of the smoking rooms—jovial fellows with money in their pockets, racy stories on their tongues, and the inclination to while away tedious hours with poker. It takes an expert to pick out a criminal, especially a card sharp. Which of these men are thieves.

The captain and head steward of the liner probably know them by sight, and watch their every move. So do the detectives on the piers at Havre, Cherbourg, and Southampton. So do the officials at Scotland Yard, London, and at police headquarters in Mulberry street in this city. Thanks to the Bertillon system, the passengers who cross in the big liners every summer must learn to know the card sharps as well as the police do.

There may be a thick-set man, slightly above medium height, with black hair, a face slightly pockmarked, eyes that stare ineffectually, and a large, thick-lipped mouth crooping at the corners. Look for scars on the left forearm and on the back of the left hand. If this description fits, the passenger may be "Doc Owens," or Jacob Owen, one of the best-known card players of the ocean liners. "Doc Owens" declares he has given up the game, and is out of it. He turns up every now and then, however, on liners where the passengers are fooled.

A Big Swindle.

Another six-foot fellow seems to be too dull and heavy to be a swindler. He is about forty years old, and weighs fully 200 pounds. His double chin and thick lips add to the general impression of torpor. As likely as not, he is a Canadian, and a record of four years in a Canadian prison on a charge of \$12,000 worth of swindles, and a witness in the story of the Gainsborough apartments two years ago, and Cecil A. Brackett, who combined gambling and the business of a diamond broker, and whose name and pictures of all these men were placed in the police archives, where all who chose might read.

Then younger and unknown gamblers appeared on the ocean liners. According to the police, these unknown card sharps dropped out, but some of them employed new men to work for them while they engineered the games.

Deutschland Incident.

An incident on the Deutschland two years ago serves as an example of the many told of the newer type. A game of poker was started in the smoking room and lasted for three days without a break. "Doc Owens" was a passenger on the liner, but took no part in the game. Two of the players, however, had been recognized as card sharps from Chicago, and the wiser passengers would not play.

At last the game lay between one of the experts and a New Yorker. The losses of the latter footed up to nearly \$700, when he opened a jackpot. In his hand were three jacks and a pair of kings. Certain that he would recoup for his losses, he raised, and raised again. Then he "called" the other man. His opponent threw down three aces and a pair of jacks. Then here the "English" gamblers for the voyage with a charge of cheating. The pack had been stacked; there were five jacks.

Month after month such stories are repeated. Only the details and the amounts of losses differ. Scion of such swindles reach the courts or lead to the punishment of the offenders. The victims are too poor to prosecute. They decline to give their names. They will not admit that they have been swindled. As the Scotchman Yard has a long list of swindlers who "work" on the ocean liners, many of them using the sea voyage as the opening wedge for a final coup in a hotel in London or on the continent. There is a list of names in the "English" who were driven out of New York a few years ago. He is a loud-mouthed fellow with a villainous countenance, and poses as a Mexican who wants to sell a mine. Another is Arthur Pierce, an Australian by birth, but known here as "English Arthur." He usually pretends that he is a rich American cattle dealer going to Europe to see the sights. The way he manages the King's English is in a deceit. Orders on the Southland Yacht are Frank Carey, an Englishman of good family, who passes as a gentleman; "One-armed Owen," a clever card sharp; James Walsh; Alex. Hassell, who was with Ted Sionne when he assaulted a waiter at Ascutt and two, Englishmen, "Perival," alias William Sinclair, a mild-mannered, bald man, with a thick underlip, and Hugh L. Courtney, thin faced and with a melancholy aspect. "Dago Frank" and Charles Fisher, two New York burglars, have also turned their attention to steamship swindles.

Publicity the Cure.

Since the steamship swindles have been given widespread publicity, these crooks usually confine their efforts while aboard ship to "spotting" their victims and scraping an acquaintance that leads to a big game in London or Paris. Invariably well-dressed and affable as they are, usually good story-tellers, and with accounts of themselves that defy criticism, the professional crooks have many chances to learn of their intended victims. The appearance of the traveler's trunks, his dress and style of living aid the swindler in making his estimate. The smoking room offers opportunities for camouflage. There is always more or less gossip about conspicuous passengers. When the swindler has scraped an acquaintance, it is easy to lead the conversation to questions of income, bank accounts, and letters of credit, or of how much money the traveler intends to spend while abroad.

When England is reached, the victim is invited to the crook's chambers. The swindlers usually frequent the large London hotels or restaurants that are popular with Americans—Princes, the Savoy, the Carlton, the Berkeley, and the Bristol. Living in the same hotel with the victim, it is an easy matter to perfect the swindle, and there the game is ended.

"Good morning, Mallon," said the little man, as he passed up the gangplank. "It looks as if we'd have a fine voyage." Before Mallon could interfere the man

Store Opens Daily 8 a. m., Closes 6 p. m.

SKANN'S SONS & CO.

8th St & PA. AVE.
"THE BUSY CORNER"

On Account of Holiday To-morrow
This Store Will Be Closed All Day.

FOR TUESDAY

We shall have some very attractive offerings,
for information of which refer to advertisements
in the evening papers—Star—Times.

Sunday Morning Chat.

The First Presbyterian Church can claim all the honors due a very old church and also a downtown church full of life and increasing power and usefulness. It had its origin in the days when Washington was only a city on paper and in the hands of the surveyor. As far back as 1755 the very small band, out of which the First Church grew, under the pastoral care of Rev. John Brackenridge, first met for worship without formal organization in a carpenter's shop in the White House grounds. Here they came from time to time till the building was pulled down. Their next meeting place was a farm house on F street near Tenth, where St. Patrick's Church now stands. In 1809 Mr. Brackenridge and his flock were to be found worshipping in the "Academy East," in the neighborhood of the navy yard, he sharing with them his time as supply to Bladensburg, preaching in this city once in three weeks. A twofold reason took them to this locality. They thought that Washington would grow eastward from the Capitol, and as the requirements of the navy yard and brought a considerable colony to that section they might thereby add to their numbers and extend their usefulness. Their next move was to the Capitol, permission having been granted them to use the Supreme Court room for Sabbath services.

About the year 1811 they ceased to be a wandering flock. The church was formally organized by the Presbytery of Baltimore, and named the First Presbyterian Church, of Washington, D. C., with the Rev. John Brackenridge as its first pastor. Plans for a permanent place of worship were made and a site selected on First street, just south of the Capitol, and the first shovelful of earth for the new sanctuary was turned by John Coyle, one of its first elders, on ground covered at that time by a thick growth of saplings, vines, and underbrush. On June 20, 1812, the congregation moved into the finished edifice commonly known as "The Little White Church Under the Hill."

Some of its walls still stand, buried out of sight by the grading of later years. In 1817 the pastoral relation of Dr. Brackenridge was dissolved. His death occurred in 1841. It has been said that the words "No foot of land do I possess," so applicable to many clergymen, were not true in his case, as he possessed more than forty acres, which were sold to the late William W. Corcoran, and by him to the government for the Soldiers' Home. His place of burial is here, marked by a stone bearing this inscription: "Rev. John Brackenridge; died May 2, 1841; the first Presbyterian preacher in Washington City, and who also served the church at Bladensburg 40 years." His wife is buried beside him.

Owing to the continued growth of the congregation it became necessary to seek larger quarters. The site of the present First Presbyterian church was purchased and a building erected and dedicated in December, 1827, the pastor, Rev. Dr. Reuben Post, preaching the sermon. It is recorded as "a day of great rejoicing in the history of the church." Again, as the years went by, there was more room needed, and in 1850, a second story was constructed above the old one, giving a large auditorium. The front was altered and it was rededicated in December, the venerable Gardiner Spring, of New York, preaching the dedicatory sermon. From this time until 1882 the church remained with scarcely a change, when there were great improvements and alterations made, of which the church today is the outcome. This fall or winter the church is to be enlarged in order to provide room for the Sunday school class of Mr. Barton, which is one of the largest and most enthusiastic in the city.

From the beginning up to this time the church has been the resort of prominent men and women in all ranks of life, either as visitors or regular communicants. And Presidents Andrew Jackson, James K. Polk, Franklin Pierce, and Grover Cleveland worshipped here.

The First Church, in its more than 100 years of existence, has had among its pastors some of the most eloquent and distinguished preachers of the denomination. The late Dr. Byron Sunderland, who was installed in 1839 and served for forty-five years as active and three years as pastor emeritus, is held in tender memory in thousands of Washington homes. Rev. Dr. T. De Witt Talmage came to the church in the fall of 1855 and served as co-pastor with Dr. Sunderland for several years. It was feared a few years ago that old First Church would be forced to leave its downtown location and move to the residential section, its membership had grown so small. But fortunately, Dr. Donald C. MacLeod was called as pastor in 1890, and under his vigorous, faithful ministry the congregation has grown until it is now one of the strongest churches in Washington.

Dr. Frederick D. Power celebrates his thirty-second anniversary as pastor of the Vermont Avenue Christian Church today, and all who have met Dr. Power congratulate the church on the fact that he has been the pastor for that length of time and hope his ministry may continue to help and bless not only his own church, but the city for years to come, as it has in the past. When Dr. Power came to the church it was a small frame chapel, the only one of the denomination in the city, and in 1881 on the site where

it stood, the present commodious edifice was erected. It has now a membership of 600. Not only so, but, as the fruit of his labor, there have grown the Ninth Street Christian Church, H Street Church, Fifteenth Street Church, Whitney Avenue and Thirty-fourth Street Church, beside Antioch Church, Vienna, Va. In a delightful little volume, "Thoughts of Thirty Years," published by the Young People's Society of Christian Endeavor of the Vermont Avenue Church, as a memorial of Dr. Power's thirtieth anniversary, Francis E. Clark, president of the World's Christian Endeavor Union, uses in his prefatory remarks these words, which are just as true today as they were then: "To fill an important pulpit in the National Capital for almost a whole generation, and that generation in which respects the most stirring and memorable in our national history, has been the lot of very few men. To hold such a position with increasing power and influence during all these years, and to become more and more beloved by the people, with a congregation, but by a constantly increasing multitude outside of his own city and his own church, gives to Dr. Power a unique position among the ministers of America."

Rev. Charles E. Guthrie, who comes from Strawbridge Church, Baltimore, as Dr. Carl G. Doney's successor at Hamline Methodist Episcopal Church, will preach his initial sermon this morning. Dr. Guthrie has just returned from a European trip. The Baltimore Methodist, in regretting the change that takes him from that city, says: "During his sixteen years of ministerial service in the work of each day, including pastoral and pastoral care, he has ministered at Sumnerfield, Columbia, in our national history, has been the lot of very few men. To hold such a position with increasing power and influence during all these years, and to become more and more beloved by the people, with a congregation, but by a constantly increasing multitude outside of his own city and his own church, gives to Dr. Power a unique position among the ministers of America."

The international convention of the Brotherhood of St. Andrew, to meet here September 25 to 29, has a programme arranged containing many interesting features. A service not before included in the Brotherhood conventions is a morning meeting for prayer, to continue about forty-five minutes. It is to be held every day excepting Sunday. Very helpful have devotional meetings of less formal character proved, which have been held at former conventions, and it is believed that these special services will give inspiration to the work of each day's session. The first morning prayer meeting will be Thursday, September 26, and will be led by Bishop Daniel Sylvester Tuttle, of St. Louis, Mo., his subject being "The Joy of Worship." Friday morning, the Right Rev. Edgar A. Aldrich, of St. Albans, England, will have charge, with "The Joy of Service" as the topic, and "The Joy of Sacrifice" will be the thought for Saturday's service, with the Most Rev. Enos Nuttal, Archbishop of the West Indies, as leader.

Those who do not understand the exact purpose of the Brotherhood of St. Andrew will be interested to know that it was organized for the one purpose of spreading Christ's kingdom among men. It works under two rules: One, known as the Rule of Prayer—"To pray for the spread of Christ's kingdom among men, and that Christ's blessing may be upon the labors of the Brotherhood," and the other, the Rule of Service—"To make at least one effort each week to bring some man nearer Christ through His Church."

I fancy sanatoriums and health resorts would not be nearly so overcrowded by those seeking a cure for overtaxed brains and tired nerves, if more would follow the example set by Bishop Leighton Coleman, of Delaware, and take a yearly vacation, spent in a long walking tour. For the past fifty years the bishop, who is one of the most eminent divines in the country, has found recreation and pleasure in these journeyings, and, though now in his seventy-first year, is as enthusiastic as ever over the delights of pedestrianism, and attributes his vigor and ability to do hard work to his healthful exercise. He is now on his annual tramp, and, as is his custom before starting, laid aside his clerical dress and donned the garb of an everyday citizen. He uses no disguise, but does not tell who he is, as he thinks by remaining unknown he gets nearer to the people he meets by the way, and he likes it.

To find his theater in roadside nooks, where men and women expect it not. His tour last year covered two hundred and ten miles, along the Susquehanna River, and through the Cumberland Valley. He walked on an average twenty-one miles a day; his banner day was twenty-six miles, and he said he was then not tiring. Many and entertaining are the experiences of these trips. Last year he was asked several times a week what he had to sell, or what industry he represented; was taken, on a number of occasions, for a fortune-teller, and was offered a job at picking apples by a farmer. The cost of a tour like this, the bishop says, is very little. At one hotel where he stopped to spend the night he secured supper, lodging, and breakfast for 50 cents, and at times he has been given a room and board because of a belief that he was too poor to ride, and was compelled to walk. On occasions he has slept in barns or wherever necessity required.

ELIZABETH FRÉCHÉ.